



Cyprus
University of
Technology

Faculty of Health
Sciences

Bachelor's Thesis

**Contemporary Communication Rehabilitation After Total
Laryngectomy**

Giannos Makrides

Limassol, April 2026

CYPRUS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION SCIENCES

Bachelor's Thesis

Contemporary Communication Rehabilitation After Total
Laryngectomy

Giannos Makrides

x

Supervisor: Dr. Marina Charalambous/ EEP

Limassol, April 2026

Copyright© 2026 Giannos Makrides

All rights reserved.

The approval of the thesis by the Department of Rehabilitation Sciences does not imply necessarily the approval by the Department of the views of the writer.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Marina Charalampous, for her continuous guidance, support, and valuable feedback throughout the completion of this dissertation. Her expertise, encouragement, and academic insight greatly contributed to the development of this study and to my growth as a researcher.

I would also like to sincerely thank my co-researcher, Eleftheria Antoniou, for her collaboration, dedication, and contribution throughout the research process. Her support and cooperation were highly appreciated during all stages of this systematic review.

In addition, I would like to thank all academic staff and professionals whose knowledge and guidance contributed to my academic journey and the completion of this dissertation.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my family and close friends for their patience, encouragement, and emotional support throughout my studies.

ABSTRACT

Background: Total laryngectomy (TL) is the surgical removal of the larynx, resulting in the loss of natural voice. Although several evidenced-based communication rehabilitation methods exist, their availability and use vary across countries according to income level.

Aims: This review aims to (1) identify and map the existing communication rehabilitation methods following TL, and (2) compare these rehabilitation approaches according to country income level.

Methods: A systematic review methodology was conducted by three independent researchers based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. This systematic review was registered on the PROSPERO website. The search strategy involved using MESH Terms ‘laryngectomy’ AND ‘communication’ AND ‘rehabilitation’ across 7 databases as follows: CINAHL, Embase (via Ovid), MEDLINE, PsycInfo, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. The review was conducted using the Covidence software.

Results: Out of 3465 titles identified, 63 studies met the criteria: 51 studies (81%) were from high-income countries, eight (13%) were from upper–middle-income countries, and four (6%) were from low–middle-income countries. No studies were published in low-income countries. Tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP), esophageal speech (ES) and electrolarynx (EL) are the most commonly used methods.

Conclusion: Communication rehabilitation approaches following TL vary according to country income level. No studies reporting rehabilitation methods are available from low-income countries, while limited evidence is available from low- and upper-middle-income countries. Tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) is the most commonly reported method across all income level countries, whereas esophageal speech (ES) and electrolarynx (EL), varies across countries.

Keywords: total laryngectomy, communication rehabilitation methods, tracheoesophageal puncture, esophageal speech, electrolarynx, country income level