${\bf APPENDIX\ D-Comparison\ of\ our\ Study\ Findings\ with\ Previous\ Scientific\ Reports}$

Cancer site	Outcome	Report	No. of studies used	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Comments
		HSPH team	5	1.21 (1.00, 1.47)	
	Incidence	CCO, 2004	2	Not estimable	
	incidence	Review of CCO	2	1.17 (0.74, 1.75)	Insufficient evidence
		Youakim, 2006	3	1.36 (1.01-1.80)	
		HSPH team	15	1.34 (1.09,1.66)	
Bladder	Mortality	CCO, 2004	7	1.36 (0.79, 2.35)	Insufficient data to determine
	Wortanty	Review of CCO	10	1.10 (0.81, 1.47)	Insufficient evidence
		Youakim, 2006	10	1.14 (0.96-1.33)	
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	11	1.20 (0.97, 1.48)	Unlikely
	Qualitative literature	Guidotti, 2003	7	Sufficient evidence: may reflect a true risk associated with occupation	
	review	McGregor, 2005	4	Limited evidence	
	Incidence	HSPH team	5	1.08 (0.63, 1.85)	
		CCO, 2004	11	1.45 (0.84, 2.49)	Limited evidence
		Review of CCO	2	1.62 (1.03,2.43)	Probable
		Youakim, 2006	6	1.39 (0.90-2.05)	
	Mortality	HSPH team	18	1.27 (0.99, 1.63)	
Brain & CNS		CCO, 2004	11	1.41 (0.97, 2.06)	Limited evidence
		Review of CCO	7	1.37 (1.07, 1.72)	Probable
		Youakim, 2006	15	1.09 (0.92-1.25)	
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	19	1.32 (1.12,1.54)	Possible
	Qualitative literature	Guidotti, 2003	4	Sufficient: may reflect true risk in certain subgroup	
	review	McGregor, 2005	14	Inadequate evidence	
	Incidence	HSPH team	5	0.96 (0.72, 1.28)	
		CCO, 2004	4	1.08 (0.86, 1.35)	Limited evidence
Colorectal		Review of CCO	4	1.06 (0.84,1.33)	Insufficient to determine
	Mortality	HSPH team	9	1.21 (0.99, 1.46)	
		CCO, 2004	9	1.24 (1.04, 1.47)	Limited evidence

		Review of CCO	9	1.31 (1.15,1.49)	Insufficient to determine
	Qualitative literature	Tag. 2005	12	No association in incidence, statistically significant increased risk in mortality	
	review	Tao, 2005	13		
		HSPH team	6	1.23 (1.04, 1.45)	
	Incidence	CCO, 2004	3	0.97 (0.69, 1.36)	Limited evidence
	incidence	Review of CCO	3	0.91 (0.65,1.25)	Insufficient evidence
		Youakim, 2006	5	1.06 (0.84-1.32)	
		HSPH team	15	1.12 (0.99, 1.28)	
	Mortality	CCO, 2004	7	1.83 (1.09, 3.08)	Limited evidence
Colon	Mortanty	Review of CCO	7	1.12 (0.95,1.32)	Insufficient evidence
		Youakim, 2006	10	1.07 (0.95-1.18)	
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	25	1.21 (1.03,1.41)	Possible
		Guidotti 2003		Sufficient evidence existed to consider adding colon cancer to the	
	Qualitative literature	Guidotti, 2003		presumption list	
	review	Tao, 2005	15	No statistically significant association in incidence and mortality	
		McGregor, 2007	19	Not sufficient evidence	
	Incidence	HSPH team	4	1.06 (0.80, 1.42)	
		CCO, 2004	3	1.25 (0.84, 1.85)	Limited evidence
		Review of CCO	3	1.21 (0.83,1.73)	Possible increased risk
	Mortality	HSPH team	15	1.25 (1.07, 1.47)	
Rectal		CCO, 2004	7	2.08 (0.92, 4.72)	Limited evidence
Rectai		Review of CCO	7	1.36 (1.04,1.73)	Possible increased risk
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	13	1.29 (1.10,1.51)	Possible
	Qualitative literature review	Tao, 2005	12	No association in incidence, statistically significant increased risk in mortality	
		McGregor, 2007	19	Not sufficient evidence	
Esophagus	Incidence	HSPH team	3	0.89 (0.49, 1.62)	
	Mortality	HSPH team	9	0.95 (0.69, 1.31)	
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	8	1.16 (0.86,1.57)	Unlikely
	Qualitative literature	McGregor, 2007	10	No evidence suggested to assume increased risk of esophagus cancer	
	review			as a result of firefighting	occupation
Larynx	Incidence	HSPH team	3	1.21 (0.53, 2.76)	

	Mortality	HSPH team	8	0.96 (0.67, 1.36)	
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	7	1.22 (0.87-1.70)	Unlikely
Oral &	Incidence	HSPH team	2	0.80 (0.50, 1.28)	
	Mortality	HSPH team	10	1.11 (0.83, 1.47)	
Pharynx	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	9	1.23 (0.96-1.55)	Possible
		HSPH team	4	1.11 (0.34, 3.52)	
	Incidence	CCO, 2004	4	0.89 (0.23, 3.39)	No evidence
	incidence	Review of CCO	3	0.48 (0.19,0.99)	Insufficient evidence
		Youakim, 2006	3	0.48 (0.19-0.98)	
		HSPH team	12	1.24 (0.94, 1.64)	
Kidney	Mortality	CCO, 2004	7	1.08 (0.58, 2.03)	No evidence
Kidiley	Wiortanty	Review of CCO	7	1.04 (0.72,1.46)	Insufficient evidence
		Youakim, 2006	9	1.22 (1.02-1.43)	
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	12	1.07 (0.78, 1.46)	Unlikely
	Qualitative literature review	Guidotti, 2003	5	Sufficient evidence existed to assume a general presumption of risk for	
				kidney cancer for firefighters	
		McGregor, 2005	8	Limited evidence	
	Incidence	HSPH team	3	1.29 (0.68, 2.45)	
		CCO, 2004	2	Not estimable	
		Review of CCO	2	1.00 (0.36, 2.11)	Insufficient data to determine
		Youakim, 2006	4	1.34 (0.82-2.06)	
	Mortality	HSPH team	12	1.14 (0.97, 1.34)	
		CCO, 2004	3	0.94 (0.64, 1.36)	Insufficient data to determine
Leukemia		Review of CCO	4	0.96 (0.71, 1.31)	Insufficient data to determine
		Youakim, 2006	9	1.08 (0.92-1.23)	
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	8	1.14 (0.98, 1.31)	Possible
	Qualitative literature review	Guidotti, 2003	4	Sufficient evidence existed to assume a general presumption of risk for	
				leukemia for firefighters	
		McGregor, 2007	14	not supportive of a conclusion that occupation of firefighting is an	
				unequivocal risk factor f	for leukemia
Liver & GB	Incidence	HSPH team	2	0.77 (0.41, 1.45)	
Liver & UD	Mortality	HSPH team	12	1.14 (0.92, 1.41)	

	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	7	1.04 (0.72, 1.49)	Unlikely
	Incidence	HSPH	6	0.71 (0.49, 1.04)	
		CCO, 2004	4	0.99 (0.79, 1.24)	No evidence
		Review of CCO	4	0.96 (0.77, 1.19)	No evidence
Lung		HSPH team	16	0.99 (0.89, 1.11)	
	Mortality	CCO, 2004	8	1.02 (0.91, 1.15)	No evidence
		Review of CCO	7	1.01 (0.91, 1.11)	No evidence
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	19	1.03 (0.97, 1.08)	Unlikely
	Incidence	HSPH team	1	0.32 (0.08, 1.25)	
Lymphatic &	Mortality	HSPH team	12	1.03 (0.80, 1.33)	
Hematopoietic	Qualitative literature review	Guidotti, 2003	4	Insufficient evidence	
	Incidence	HSPH team	1	0.7 (0.10, 2.60)	
		CCO, 2004	2	Not estimable	Insufficient data to determine
I		Review of CCO	2	0.89 (0.36, 1.90)	Insufficient data to determine
Multiple	Mortality	HSPH team	7	1.36 (1.07, 1.73)	
Multiple myeloma		CCO, 2004	1	Not estimable	Insufficient data to determine
myeloma		Review of CCO	3	1.39 (0.99, 1.91)	Insufficient data to determine
I	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	10	1.53 (1.21, 1.94)	Probable
	Qualitative literature review	McGregor, 2007	6	No evidence suggested to assume increased risk of multiple myeloma as a result of firefighting occupation	
	Incidence	HSPH team	4	1.75 (0.76, 4.00)	
		CCO, 2004	2	Not estimable	Insufficient data to determine
		Review of CCO	2	1.40 (0.46, 3.28)	Insufficient data to determine
		Youakim, 2006	4	1.34 (0.86-1.97)	
Non- Hodgkin's lymphoma	Mortality	HSPH team	6	1.38 (1.19, 1.59)	
		CCO, 2004	1	Not estimable	Insufficient data to determine
		Review of CCO	2	1.47 (0.93, 2.21)	Insufficient data to determine
		Youakim, 2006	8	1.40 (1.20-1.60)	
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	8	1.51 (1.31, 1.73)	Probable
	Qualitative literature review	Guidotti, 2003	4	Sufficient evidence existed to assume a general presumption of risk for Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma for firefighters	

		McGregor, 2007	10	Firm conclusion can not be made. No clear mechanism between	
		WicGregor, 2007	10	exposure and cancer dev	elopment
Hodgkin's	Incidence	HSPH team	1	0.77 (0.38, 1.38)	
	Mortality	HSPH team	6	2.04 (1.35, 3.07)	
lymphoma	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	3	1.07 (0.59, 1.92)	Unlikely
	Incidence	HSPH team	5	0.86 (0.57, 1.32)	
	Mortality	HSPH team	14	1.09 (0.93, 1.27)	
Pancreas	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	13	1.10 (0.91, 1.34)	Unlikely
	Qualitative literature	McGregor, 2007	11	No evidence suggested to assume increased risk of pancreas cancer as	
	review	Wicoregor, 2007		a result of firefighting occupation	
	Incidence	HSPH team	9	1.28 (0.99, 1.65)	
	Mortality	HSPH team	15	1.31 (1.00, 1.70)	
Prostate	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	13	1.28 (1.15, 1.43)	Probable
	Qualitative literature	McGregor, 2007	15	No evidence suggested to assume increased risk of prostate cancer as a	
	review	McGregor, 2007	13	result of firefighting occu	upation
	Incidence	HSPH team	4	1.20 (0.86, 1.68)	
Skin	Mortality	HSPH team	5	1.65 (1.14, 2.39)	
Melanoma	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	10	1.32 (1.10, 1.57)	Possible
IVICIAIIOIIIA	Qualitative literature	McGregor, 2007	6	No evidence suggested to assume increased risk of malignant	
	review			melanoma as a result of t	firefighting occupation
	Incidence	HSPH team	3	0.98 (0.60, 1.59)	
Skin	Mortality	HSPH team	8	1.20 (0.91, 1.57)	
Melanoma &	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	8	1.39 (1.10, 1.73)	Possible
non-melanoma	Qualitative literature review	McGregor, 2007	6	No evidence suggested to assume increased risk of skin cancer as a	
				result of firefighting occu	upation
	Incidence	HSPH team	5	0.87 (0.45, 1.70)	
Stomach	Mortality	HSPH team	16	0.95 (0.80, 1.13)	
	Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	13	1.22 (1.04, 1.44)	Possible
	Qualitative literature	MaCrassa 2007	14	No evidence suggested to assume increased risk of stomach cancer as	
	review	McGregor, 2007		a result of firefighting oc	ecupation
Testes		HSPH team	5	2.16 (1.22, 3.80)	
	Incidence	CCO, 2004	3	Not estimable	Insufficient data to determine
		Review of CCO	2	1.47 (0.82, 2.64)	Insufficient data to determine

Mortality	HSPH team	3	1.80 (0.58, 5.54)	
Wortanty	CCO, 2004	1	Not estimable	Insufficient data to determine
Summary risk estimate ³	Le Masters, 2006	4	2.02 (1.30, 3.13)	Possible
Qualitative literature review	McGregor, 2007	6	No evidence suggested to assume increased risk of testes cancer as a result of firefighting occupation	

- 1. HSPH: Represents the meta-analysis performed by the current team from the Harvard School of Public Health
- 2. CCO: Represents a previous report prepared by the Cancer Care Ontario group
 3. Le Masters showed combined summary risk estimate for each cancer site using pattern of meta-relative risk associations (SMR, PMR, RR, SIR, OR).
- 4. Review of CCO: by Drs Pierre Band and David Parker, October 4th, 2004.