



Cyprus
University of
Technology

Faculty of Geotechnical
Sciences and Environmental
Management

Bachelor's Thesis

Computational studies on microreactors for the decomposition of formic acid for hydrogen production using heterogeneous catalysts.

Kyproula Georgiou

Supervisor

Dr. Achilleas Constantinou, Assistant Professor

Limassol, May 2023

Table of Contents

Abstract	1
1. Introduction	1
2. Hydrogen	3
2.1 From renewable sources.....	3
2.2 From Fossil Fuels	11
3. Formic acid	14
3.1 Characteristics	14
3.2 Its production.....	15
3.3 Decomposition.....	19
4. Hydrogen storage problems	20
4.1 The solution of storing hydrogen in Fa.....	22
5. Fuel cells and their importance	24
6. Catalysts	25
6.1 General comparison of homogenous and heterogeneous catalysts and the use of catalyst	25
6.2 Homogeneous catalysts for formic acid decomposition	26
6.2.1 Ruthenium-based.....	26
6.2.2 Iridium-based	26
6.2.3 Iron-based	26
6.2.4 Copper-based	27
6.3 Heterogeneous Catalysts for formic acid decomposition	27
6.3.1 Palladium-based	27
6.3.2 Gold-based	28
6.3.3 Platinum-based.....	28
6.3.4 Other catalysts.....	28
7. Reactors	28

7.1	Fixed-bed	28
7.2	Stirred-tank	30
7.3	Microreactors	31
8.	A general comparison of microreactors and conventional reactors	32
9.	Definitions of factors.....	33
9.1	Residence Time	33
9.2	Temperature	33
9.3	Pressure	33
10.	CFD Modelling.....	34
10.1	Simulation details.....	34
10.1.1	Table 1: Parameters used on the simulation:	34
10.1.2	Table 2: Variables used on the simulation:.....	35
10.1.3	Chemistry:.....	36
10.1.4	Transport of diluted species:	36
10.1.5	Porous medium:.....	37
10.1.6	Domain ODEs and DAEs (dode):.....	37
10.2	Results for packed bed 2D and 3D:.....	38
10.3	Results for single membrane microreactor for 2D and 3D: ..	46
10.4	Results for double membrane microreactor for 2D and 3D: ..	56
10.5	Comparison between models in 2D and 3D:.....	63
10.6	Mesh independence	66
11.	Conclusions and Recommendations	72
	Acknowledgments	73
	References.....	73

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Typical alkaline electrolysis cell. ¹²	Figure 2: Typical SOEC electrolysis cell. ¹⁴	4
Figure 3: A typical PEM electrolysis cell. ¹⁷		6
Figure 4: Illustration of mechanism of photocatalytic water splitting for H ₂ production. ¹⁹		7
Figure 5: Schematic representation of hydrogen's production through biomass steam gasification. ²⁴		9
Figure 6: Bio-hydrogen production via bacterial bioprocesses. ²⁷		11
Figure 7: Possible routes to produce Hydrogen. ³⁸		14
Figure 8: Formic acid's production from cellulose through hydrolysis. ⁵		17
Figure 9: Ways to produce formic acid.		19
Figure 10: Heating values of comparative fuels. ⁴⁹		20
Figure 11: Volume of 4 kg of hydrogen compacted in different ways, with size relative to the real size of a car. ⁵²		21
Figure 12: Hydrogen storage technologies and their necessary conditions. ⁵¹		22
Figure 13: Reaction mechanisms of the two possible routes of formic acid decomposition. ⁵		23
Figure 14: A catalytic cycle for hydrogen storage in FA. ⁵³		23
Figure 15: Process flow diagram of the experimental setup for FA decomposition by Winkler et al. ⁷⁶		29
Figure 16: Block diagram for calculations of the process steps. ⁷⁶		29
Figure 17: Comparison of formic acid decomposition with Pd/C catalyst in a PFR and CSTR where a) relative performance of the catalyst as a function of substrate turnover and b) cumulative moles of formic acid converted in the two systems as a function of substrate. ⁸²		31
Figure 18: Representation of the (a) fixed bed, (b) coated wall, and (c) membrane microreactors developed for the CFD modeling study. ⁴		32
Figure 19: Concentration scale of formic acid (mol/m ³).		38
Figure 20: Concentration of formic acid for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 2D-packed bed reactor.		38
Figure 21: Concentration of formic acid for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 50°C in a 2D-packed bed reactor.		38
Figure 22: Concentration of formic acid for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 3D-packed bed reactor.		38
Figure 23: Concentration of formic acid for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 50°C in a 3D-packed bed reactor.		39
Figure 24: How conversion changes in a 2D-packed bed reactor over time as temperature changes.		39
Figure 25: How conversion changes in a 3D-packed bed reactor over time as temperature changes.		40
Figure 26: How conversion changes in a 2D-packed bed reactor over time as porosity changes.		41
Figure 27: How conversion changes in a 3D-packed bed reactor over time as porosity changes.		41
Figure 28: How conversion changes in a 2D-packed bed reactor over time as diameter changes.		42
Figure 29: How conversion changes in a 3D-packed bed reactor over time as diameter changes.		43
Figure 30: How conversion changes in a 2D-packed bed reactor over time as flow rate changes.		44
Figure 31: How conversion changes in a 3D-packed bed reactor over time as flow rate changes.		44
Figure 32: How conversion changes in a 2D-packed bed reactor over time as concentration changes.		45
Figure 33: How conversion changes in a 3D-packed bed reactor over time as concentration changes.		46

Figure 34: Concentration of formic acid for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 2D single membrane microreactor.....	46
Figure 35: Concentration of formic acid for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 3D single membrane microreactor.....	47
Figure 36: Concentration scale for carbon monoxide and hydrogen, respectively (mol/m ³).....	47
Figure 37: Concentration of carbon monoxide for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 2D single membrane microreactor.....	48
Figure 38: Concentration of carbon monoxide for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 3D single membrane microreactor.....	48
Figure 39: Concentration of hydrogen for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 2D single membrane microreactor.....	48
Figure 40: Concentration of hydrogen for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 3D single membrane microreactor.....	48
Figure 41: How conversion changes in a 2D single membrane microreactor over time as temperature changes.	49
Figure 42: How conversion changes in a 3D single membrane microreactor over time as temperature changes.	49
Figure 43: How conversion changes in a 2D single membrane microreactor over time as porosity changes.	50
Figure 44: How conversion changes in a 3D single membrane microreactor over time as porosity changes.	51
Figure 45: How conversion changes in a 2D single membrane reactor over time as diameter changes. .	52
Figure 46: How conversion changes in a 3D single membrane reactor over time as diameter changes. ..	52
Figure 47: How conversion changes in a 2D single membrane microreactor over time as flow rate changes.	53
Figure 48: How conversion changes in a 3D single membrane microreactor over time as flow rate changes.....	54
Figure 49: How conversion changes in a 2D single membrane microreactor over time as concentration changes.....	55
Figure 50: How conversion changes in a 3D single membrane microreactor over time as concentration changes.....	55
Figure 51: Concentration of formic acid for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 2D double membrane microreactor.	56
Figure 52: Concentration of formic acid for a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min, time = 500 min and T = 30°C in a 3D double membrane microreactor.	56
Figure 53: How conversion changes in a 2D double membrane microreactor over time as temperature changes.	57
Figure 54: How conversion changes in a 3D double membrane microreactor over time as temperature changes.	57
Figure 55: How conversion changes in a 2D double membrane microreactor over time as porosity changes.	58
Figure 56: How conversion changes in a 3D double membrane microreactor over time as porosity changes.	59
Figure 57: How conversion changes in a 2D double membrane microreactor over time as flow rate changes.	60

Figure 58: How conversion changes in a 3D double membrane microreactor over time as flow rate changes.....	60
Figure 59: How conversion changes in a 2D double membrane microreactor over time as concentration changes.	61
Figure 60: How conversion changes in a 3D double membrane microreactor over time as concentration changes.....	62
Figure 61: Production of carbon monoxide in all reactors in both dimensions.....	63
Figure 62: Conversion (%) vs time (min) for flow rate = 0.05 mL/min, T = 30 °C and concentration of formic acid = 0.05 mol/m ³	64
Figure 63: Conversion (%) vs time (min) for flow rate = 0.07 mL/min, T = 30 °C and concentration of formic acid = 0.05 mol/m ³	64
Figure 64: Conversion (%) vs time (min) for flow rate = 0.05 mL/min, T = 50 °C and concentration of formic acid = 0.05 mol/m ³	65
Figure 65: 2D models for normal, fine, extra fine and extremely fine meshing.	68
Figure 66: 2D models for normal, fine, extra fine and extremely fine meshing.	69
Figure 67: 3D models for normal, fine, extra fine and extremely fine meshing.	70
Figure 68: 3D models for normal, fine, extra fine and extremely fine meshing.	71

Table of Tables:

10.1.1 Table 1: Parameters used on the simulation:.....	34
10.1.2 Table 2: Variables used on the simulation:	35
Table 3: Initial values:	37
Table 4: Inflow concentrations:.....	37
Table 5: Mesh independence test on 2D study:.....	67
Table 6: Mesh independence test on 3D study:.....	67

Abstract

The rapid increase of CO₂ emissions causes dramatic climate changes. Thus, finding a solution became imperative. The key is to find a back-up source that is secure, renewable, and internationally available. A hydrogen-based economy was discovered as the most effective alternative solution to replace fossil fuels due to its sustainability. In this review, many ways of hydrogen's production through various technologies are presented, such as the generation as a product of biomass refinery through thermochemical and biological processes, from fossil fuels and water splitting like electrolysis, photolysis, and thermolysis. Hydrogen is one of the greenest energy sources, providing engines with power while causing zero emissions. Unfortunately, hydrogen's storage and transportation are unsafe, costly due to its large volume, but it is easily stored in formic acid. Formic acid is produced by the hydrogenation of CO₂, and its decomposition in mild conditions is widely used as it is a safer way of transporting and producing large amounts of hydrogen with low toxicities. As a result, atmospheric CO₂ is reducing. Moreover, there are many homogenous and heterogeneous catalysts and reactors that are utilized for the decomposition of formic acid. Reactors that can be used in this procedure are batch reactors, microreactors, CSTR, and fixed-bed reactors. These types of catalysts and reactors and their advantages-disadvantages will be analyzed further in this study.

Keywords: Hydrogen, Formic acid, Decomposition, Reactors, Renewable sources, Sustainable, Environmentally Friendly.

1. Introduction

Humans are the most responsible factor for the ongoing climate change due to the combustion of large quantities of fossil fuels. Nowadays, CO₂ emissions have grown abruptly at the highest rates ever recorded. The continuous waste of energy from developing economies can surpass those of the industrialized countries that have hitherto been the main cause of emissions.¹ This fact, has motivated mankind to discover an alternative solution to replace fossil fuels with eco-friendly sources, with hydrogen being the optimal choice. Hydrogen is an abundant, clean, and secure renewable energy source which has intrigued the interest since it can be utilized as an energy carrier and a potential transportation fuel.² Moreover, fuel cells operate with hydrogen-rich fuels or hydrogen and are also used in transportation, distributed thermal power generation and energy